

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,719	01/25/2006	Ashok M. Adur	1200309N US	7466
35227 POLYONE CO	7590 03/24/200 ORPORATION	EXAMINER		
33587 WALK	ER ROAD	LENIHAN, JEFFREY S		
AVON LAKE	, OH 44012		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/565,719	ADUR, ASHOK M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey Lenihan	1796	

	Jerrey Leninan	1/96						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of otermining the period of exh under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS	Andreas to the data of fire a being							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L 501011/1						
 They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note: the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/03) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other:								
	/ Irina S. Zemel/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims 1 and 8 recite the use of a combination of an organic phosphate ester salt, sodium benzoate, a norbomane carboxylic acid salt, and a nucleating talc as a nucleating agent in a thermoplastic elastomer. The examiner notes that this specific combination of compounds was not presented in any previously filled version of the claims. Additionally, no previous version of the claims recited any combination comprising both a norbomane carboxylic acid salt and an organic phosphate ester salt. The amendment to claims therefore raises new issues that would require further search and consideration to determine patentals.